

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. D. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/890,095	07/27/2001	Gaku Sugahara	740819-605	6059
20277	7590 02/24/2004		EXAMINER	
MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY 600 13TH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096			NGUYEN, DUNG T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2828	

DATE MAILED: 02/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/890,095	SUGAHARA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Dung (Michael) T Nguyen	2828			
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication r Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address			
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staply received by the Office later than three months after the mid patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 8.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) did will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froatute, cause the application to become ABANDOD	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 December 2003</u> .					
•		his action is non-final.				
•						
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,3-5,7-9 and 11-22 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with the claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,3-5,7-9 and 11-22 is/are rejected Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	drawn from consideration.	PAUL IP SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER			
Application	on Papers		TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800			
	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner				
•	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) <u></u> / a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur ee the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in Application of the property documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage			
Attachment		" □	(DTO 442)			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)				
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ No(s)/Mail Date		l Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/890,095

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-5, 7-9, and 11-22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is not clear whether the claim 16 is dependent on claim 1 or not.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/890,095

Art Unit: 2828

Claims 1, 3-5, 7-9, and 11-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sagawa et al. (US5844931) in view of Dickey et al. (US5372874).

With respect to claims 1, 3-5, 7-9, 11-15, and 17-22, Sagawa disclose in Fig.9A-9C a resonant cavity disposed between a n-type compound semiconductor layer 47 and a p-type compound semiconductor layer 49 (col.5, 1.35 – col.6, 1.35); and a reflective film adhered to an end facet of the resonant cavity (col.6, 1.19-22). Sagawa lack the dielectric layer made of niobium oxide. Dickey teach the first dielectric layer of silicon dioxide and a second dielectric layer of niobium oxide corresponding to the wavelength of < 0.4um (col.5, table 1, 1.20-21 and col.6, 1.38-68). For the benefit of improving the optical performance, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Sagawa the first dielectric layer of silicon dioxide and a second dielectric layer of niobium oxide corresponding to the wavelength of < 0.4um as taught by Dickey.

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sagawa et al. (US5844931) in view of Dickey et al. (US5372874) and further in view of Yamanaka (US5872759). Sagawa and Dickey disclose all limitations of

Application/Control Number: 09/890,095

Art Unit: 2828

the claim except for a condensing optical system and a photodetector. Yamanaka teaches in Fig.2 a condensing optical system 4 that condenses laser light on a storage medium 5 and a photodetector 3. For the benefit of creating an optical disk recording system, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Sagawa, Dickey, and Yamanaka.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

Art Unit: 2828

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Communication Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung (Michael) T Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1949. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 17:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Ip can be reached on (571) 272-1941. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-3329.

PAUL IP SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Michael Dung Nguyen